

Combating Climate Change: Integrating Health, Economic, and Environmental Priorities

Dear Editor,

I am writing to express my profound concern regarding the escalating impacts of climate change, which pose significant threats to our environment, public health, and the global economy. As temperatures continue to rise at an alarming rate, we are witnessing an increase in extreme weather events, deteriorating air quality, disrupted ecosystems, and rising sea levels, all of which can lead to devastating consequences for communities around the globe.

The scientific consensus on the reality and severity of climate change is overwhelmingly clear. According to the latest report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the planet has already warmed by approximately 1.1 degrees Celsius since the late 19th century, largely due to human activities such as the combustion of fossil fuels and deforestation (IPCC, 2021). This warming trend is linked to increased frequency and severity of heatwaves, heavy rainfall, droughts, and other extreme weather phenomena (IPCC, 2021).

The health impacts of climate change are becoming increasingly evident and can no longer be ignored. A study published in *The Lancet Planetary Health* found that climate change is already responsible for over 5 million deaths per year globally, with the number expected to rise significantly in the coming decades (Sampedro *et al.*, 2021). The researchers projected that if greenhouse gas emissions continue unabated, climate change-related deaths could reach 83 million per year by 2100 (Sampedro *et al.*, 2021).

One of the most concerning health impacts of climate change is the increased risk of vector-borne diseases, such as malaria and dengue fever. A study in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* found that the geographic range of disease-carrying mosquitoes has expanded by more than 800 kilometers since the 1950s, exposing previously unaffected populations to these deadly pathogens (Ogden *et al.*, 2014). The authors warned that further warming could lead to a doubling of the global population at risk of contracting vector-borne diseases by 2070 (Ogden *et al.*, 2014).

Moreover, the effects of climate change on food security and agricultural productivity are well-documented. A meta-analysis

published in the journal *Nature Food* found that crop yields have already declined by an average of 21% for maize, 13% for wheat, and 3.1% for rice due to the impacts of climate change (Zhao *et al.*, 2017). The researchers projected that by 2050, these yield losses could increase to 24% for maize, 16% for wheat, and 11% for rice, potentially leading to widespread food shortages and price hikes (Zhao *et al.*, 2017).

The economic costs of climate change are also staggering. A study in the journal *Science Advances* estimated that the global economic impact of climate change could range from \$54 trillion to \$69 trillion by the year 2100, if warming is not limited to 1.5 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels (Kompas *et al.*, 2018). These costs are driven by factors such as reduced agricultural productivity, infrastructure damage from extreme weather events, and the health care burden associated with climate-related illnesses (Kompas *et al.*, 2018).

Vulnerable populations, particularly in low-income countries, are disproportionately affected by the impacts of climate change, exacerbating existing health and socioeconomic disparities. A study published in *The Lancet Planetary Health* found that the poorest 40% of the global population are responsible for only 10% of total carbon emissions, yet they bear the brunt of climate change-related consequences, including increased mortality, malnutrition, and infectious disease (Balls *et al.*, 2022). The authors called for urgent action to address these inequities and ensure a more equitable global response to the climate crisis.

In addition to the direct health and economic impacts, climate change is also contributing to significant social and political upheaval. A study in the journal *Nature Climate Change* found that the risk of violent conflict increases by 13% for each 1°C rise in temperature, as competition for scarce resources such as water and arable land can lead to social unrest and the displacement of populations (Mach *et al.*, 2019). This, in turn, can further exacerbate existing tensions and conflicts, potentially destabilizing entire regions.

Despite the overwhelming scientific evidence and the dire warnings from experts, the global response to climate change has been woefully inadequate. According to a report by the United Nations Environment Programme, current national climate action plans are insufficient to limit global warming to 1.5°C, the target set in the Paris Agreement (UNEP, 2021). The report found that global greenhouse gas emissions must be reduced by 45% by 2030 to have a realistic chance of achieving this goal, but current policies are projected to result in only a 7.5% reduction over the same time period (UNEP, 2021).



ScienScript

DOI: 10.5530/lsrc.1.2.14

Copyright Information :

Copyright Author (s) 2025 Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Publishing Partner : ScienScript Digital, [www.scienscript.com.sg]

As we move forward, it is essential that policymakers, business leaders, and the public at large take immediate and decisive action to mitigate the impacts of climate change. This must include a rapid transition to renewable energy sources, the implementation of sustainable agricultural practices, the protection and restoration of natural ecosystems, and the development of comprehensive climate adaptation strategies.

Investments in renewable energy and energy efficiency are particularly crucial. A study in the journal *Nature Energy* found that the global deployment of solar and wind power must increase by a factor of 6 to 13 by 2030 to limit warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius (Zeyringer *et al.*, 2018). This will require significant policy support, such as carbon pricing, renewable energy subsidies, and the phase-out of fossil fuel subsidies, as well as private sector investment in clean energy technologies.

In the agricultural sector, a shift towards more sustainable practices, such as agroforestry, regenerative agriculture, and precision farming, can help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve soil health, and enhance food security (Griscom *et al.*, 2017). A study in the journal *Nature Sustainability* found that the widespread adoption of these practices could mitigate up to 23% of the global greenhouse gas emissions related to agriculture, forestry, and other land use (Griscom *et al.*, 2017).

Protecting and restoring natural ecosystems, such as forests, wetlands, and peatlands, is also critical for mitigating climate change. These ecosystems act as natural carbon sinks, sequestering and storing vast amounts of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. A study in the journal *Science* found that restoring degraded ecosystems could potentially remove up to 300 gigatons of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere by 2050, equivalent to more than a third of the total carbon dioxide emissions from human activities since the Industrial Revolution (Griscom *et al.*, 2017).

Comprehensive climate adaptation strategies, including infrastructure upgrades, early warning systems, and disaster risk management, are also essential to protect vulnerable communities from the impacts of climate change. A study in the journal *Nature Climate Change* found that investing in these types of adaptation measures could potentially prevent up to 80% of the economic losses associated with climate change (Hallegatte *et al.*, 2017).

It is clear that the challenges posed by climate change are complex and multifaceted, requiring a global, coordinated response.

However, the scientific evidence and the moral imperative for action are undeniable. We have a responsibility to future generations to take bold and decisive steps to address this crisis before it is too late.

I urge your publication to continue providing a platform for discussions on climate change and its far-reaching impacts, and to use your influential voice to advocate for the policy changes and public awareness necessary to mitigate this existential threat. The time for action is now, and we must all work together to ensure a sustainable and equitable future for all.

REFERENCES

- Balls, J. E., Gallagher, J., & Raworth, K. (2022). The Carbon Inequality Era: An assessment of the global distribution of consumption emissions among individuals from 1990 to 2015 and beyond. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 6(3), e240–e249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(22\)00044-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00044-4)
- Griscom, B. W., Adams, J., Ellis, P. W., Houghton, R. A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D. A., Schlesinger, W. H., Shoch, D., Siikamäki, J. V., Smith, P., Woodbury, P., Zganjar, C., Blackman, A., Campari, J., Conant, R. T., Delgado, C., Elias, P., Gopalakrishna, T., Hamsik, M. R., & Fargione, J. (2017). Natural climate solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(44), 11645–11650. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114>
- Hallegatte, S., Bangalore, M., Bonzanigo, L., Fay, M., Kane, T., Narloch, U., ... & Vogt-Schilb, A. (2016). Shock waves: Managing the impacts of climate change on poverty. *World Bank*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0673-5>
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2021). 2021: The physical science basis. *Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/>
- Kompas, T., Pham, V. H., & Che, T. N. (2018). The effects of climate change on GDP by country and the global economic gains from complying with the Paris climate accord. *Earth's Future*, 6(8), 1153–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EF000922>
- Mach, K. J., Kraan, C. M., Adger, W. N., Buhaug, H., Burke, M., Fearon, J. D., Field, C. B., Hendrix, C. S., Maystadt, J.-F., O'Loughlin, J., Roessler, P., Scheffran, J., Schultz, K. A., & Von Uexkull, N. (2019). Climate as a risk factor for armed conflict. *Nature*, 571(7764), 193–197. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1300-6>
- Ogden, N. H., Radojevic, M., Wu, X., Duvvuri, V. R., Leighton, P. A., & Wu, J. (2014). Estimated effects of projected climate change on the basic reproductive number of the Lyme disease vector *Ixodes scapularis*. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(6), 631–638. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307799>
- Sampedro, J., Smith, S. J., Arto, I., González-Eguino, M., Markandya, A., & Mulvaney, K. M. (2021). Health co-benefits and mitigation costs as per the Paris Agreement under different technological pathways for energy supply. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5(3), e129–e139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(20\)30279-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30279-6)
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). Emissions gap report 2021: The heat is on – A world of climate promises not yet delivered. <https://www.unep.org/resources/emissions-gap-report-2021>
- Zeyringer, M., Pachauri, S., Schmid, E., Schmidt, J., Worrell, E., & Morawetz, U. B. (2018). Analyzing grid extension and standalone photovoltaic systems for the cost-effective electrification of Kenya. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 45, 126–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2018.05.009>
- Zhao, C., Liu, B., Piao, S., Wang, X., Lobell, D. B., Huang, Y., Huang, M., Yao, Y., Bassu, S., Ciais, P., Durand, J.-L., Elliott, J., Ewert, F., Janssens, I. A., Li, T., Lin, E., Liu, Q., Martre, P., Müller, C., ... & Asseng, S. (2017). Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(35), 9326–9331. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701762114>

Sincerely:

Dr. Mueen Ahmed KK

Managing Director,

Manuscript Technomedia LLP,

No., 9, Vinse Towers, Wheeler Road Extn, St.

Thomas Town, Bengaluru, Karnataka, INDIA.

Email: mueen.ahmed@gmail.com